

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,338	09/850,338 05/07/2001		Marko Schuba 52275-0000	52275-00003USPX	2528
38065	7590	03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
ERICSSO	N INC.		DINH, MINH		
6300 LEGA	ACY DRIV	E			
M/S EVR (	C11			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, 7	PLANO, TX 75024			2132	
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/850,338	SCHUBA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Dinh	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ja</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See it in it required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the amendment filed 01/20/2006. Claims
 4, 14, 17 and 25-26 have been amended.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 001/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither Elgamal reference nor "WML Script Crypto Library" (hereinafter Crypto), either individually or in combination, disclose utilizing selected parts of the content to reduce the amount of data for transfer to the UE (page 10, last two paragraphs). Crypto discloses utilizing selected parts of a transaction (e.g., a short description of items to be purchased, the amount for each item and the total amount to be paid) for authorizing the transaction in a mobile system (see Example at top of page 11). The amount of data representing the selected parts of the transaction is suitable for transmission in the mobile system as well as for display at mobile devices.

Applicant states that Elgamal discloses displaying an indication which is an offer message comprising "orderDes" that describe the transaction (page 11, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). However, Applicant argues that Elgamal does not disclose displaying an indication comprising a string (T). The offer message

Art Unit: 2132

comprises human-readable text and therefore meets the limitation of a string. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the indication is a string that is readable by the user and only contains a brief summary of parts of the transaction to be authorized, see page 11, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-15, 17, 19-26, 28 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elgamal (5,671,279) in view of "WMLScript Crypto Library" (hereinafter Crypto).

With respect to claims 1-2, which are exemplary of claims 17 and 25-26, Elgamal discloses a method for authorizing transactions, wherein a user Application/Control Number: 09/850,338

Art Unit: 2132

equipment (fig. 1, element 16) receives an authorization request for a transaction with a hash of the authorization request (fig 1; col. 9, lines 43-60; col. 25, lines 47-66), which meets the limitation of an identifier of a transaction (specification p. 12, lines 1-2) and the user equipment replies to the request with an authorization response (fig. 2), said method comprising the steps of: calculating the identifier from the transaction information, transmitting the authorization request with the identifier to the user equipment (col. 9, lines 55-60; col. 5, lines 20-28), receiving the authorization request (fig. 1; col. 9, lines 55-60; col. 25, lines 44-57), determining the transaction information, which meets the limitation of a string T understandable by the user and indicating the authorization request (col. 26, lines 13-21), selecting the transaction information; outputting the transaction information by the user equipment (col. 26, lines 13-21 and 64-66), waiting for an input to approve or disapprove the authorization request (col. 25, lines 44-57; col. 26, lines 13-21 and 64-66), signing the identifier (col. 9, line 60 – col. 10, line 12; col. 26, lines 13-21 and 64-66), and sending the authorization response according to the input, wherein an approving authorization response comprises the signed identifier (fig. 2; col. 9, line 60 - col. 10, line 12). Elgamal does not disclose utilizing selected parts of transaction information for authorizing a transaction in a mobile phone system. Crypto discloses authorizing a transaction in Wireless

Application/Control Number: 09/850,338

Art Unit: 2132

Application Protocol using signText function which utilizes selected parts of the transaction information (Section 5.1.1, Introduction; Section 5.1.2, signText Function Definition). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Elgamal method to use signText function which utilizes selected parts of transaction information, as taught in Crypto, in order to provide transaction authorization in a mobile phone system.

With respect to claim 14, Elgamal discloses a server for processing authorization procedures in a communication system comprising: an interface to exchange messages between the server and a user equipment connected to the communication system, a processing system adapted to send an authorization request for a transaction which is to be authorized to the user equipment and to receive an authorization response from the user equipment (figures 1 and 2), wherein the processing system is further adapted for calculating an identifier for the transaction information, including the identifier in the authorization request (col. 9, lines 43-44 and 55-60) and transmitting the authorization request to the user equipment, wherein the user equipment determines an indication for the authorization request, and the server being adapted for checking the authorization response for the identifier signed by the user equipment (col. 9, line 61 – col. 10, line 12; col. 28, line 65 – col. 29, line 21). Elgamal does not disclose utilizing selected

parts of transaction information for authorizing a transaction in a mobile phone system. Crypto discloses authorizing a transaction in Wireless Application Protocol using signText function which utilizes selected parts of the transaction information (Section 5.1.1, Introduction; Section 5.1.2, signText Function Definition). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Elgamal server such that it uses signText function which utilizes selected parts of the transaction information, as taught in Crypto, in order to allow a mobile phone user to authorize a transaction using the mobile phone.

With respect to claims 4, 19 and 28, Elgamal further discloses that the displayed indication included in the authorization response is determined for the authorization request (col. 9, lines 61-67).

With respect to claims 5 and 20, Elgamal further discloses that a check is performed whether a connection is classified as safe and the indication is selected according to the check (col. 9, lines 4-7).

With respect to claims 6 and 21, Elgamal further discloses that the authorization request comprises a signature of the sender and a check of the sender signature is performed (col. 9, line 60; col. 28, lines 4-8).

With respect to claim 22, Elgamal further discloses that the indication is selected according to the check (col. 4, lines 51-57).

Application/Control Number: 09/850,338

Art Unit: 2132

With respect to claims 8-9 and 23-24, Elgamal further discloses using a timestamp as an additional parameter in any type of message (col. 9, line 60; col. 28, lines 4-8).

With respect to claim 15, Elgamal further discloses that the server comprises an interface to receive messages from a further entity and the processing system is adapted to extract the content for authorization from a message received from the further network entity and to send a reply to the further network entity, wherein the reply is determined by the authorization response (fig. 2, col. 29, lines 36-38).

With respect to claims 10-13 and 33-36, Elgamal does not disclose that the authorization request is sent by a server (MS) after reception of a message from a further entity and the server forwards an approval of the identifier and the indication to the further entity. Crypto discloses that an authorization request including an identifier is sent by a proxy server to a mobile device after the proxy server receives a message from a further entity and the proxy server forwards an approval of the identifier and the indication to the further entity (p. 14, section 6.1, Usage with signText; Section 6.2, Hash Calculation and Relationship to PKCS#7 SignedData, "When a mobile device ... not supporting WAP signed content type"; p. 15, "A proxy server MAY construct ... WML or WMLScript"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2132

to modify the Elgamal method such that the authorization request is sent by a server (MS) after reception of a message from a further entity and the server forwards an approval of the identifier and the indication to the further entity, as taught in Crypto. The motivation for doing so would have been to enable end-to-end signed content verification with servers not supporting the WAP signed content type.

5. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elgamal in view of Crypto as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of "Let Your Phone Read the Web to You". Elgamal and Crypto do not disclose that the output of the string sent to the mobile phone comprises an auditory signal. "Let Your Phone Read the Web to You" discloses converting text messages sent to the mobile phone to speech for voice outputting to a mobile phone (1st paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined method of Elgamal and Crypto such that the output of the indication string comprises an auditory signal, as taught in the "Let Your Phone Read the Web to You" reference. Mobil phones have limited output display screen.

Art Unit: 2132

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 10:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MD

Minh Dinh Examiner Art Unit 2132

MD 3/22/06

> GILBERTO BARRON JR-SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100